

April 7, 2010

Sent via email

Kelcey Land U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 8, 8ENF-T 999 18th Street, Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for March 2010 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Kelcey:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the referenced progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)



April 7, 2010

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause Bureau of Indian Affairs 400 North 5th Street, Floor 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Palute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE: Progress report for March 2010 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the referenced progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at palader@hecla-mining.com.

/ ha'//

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)

Kelcey Land (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 71 for period ending March 31, 2010; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on March 13. No unusual conditions were noted.

Geotechnical Monitoring

Based on the data showing that the facility has experienced consistently low settlement rates over the past three years, Hecla will continue to monitor the facility, however with survey data being collected on an annual basis.

The settlement monitors were surveyed on August 17, 2009. No appreciable movement was noted. MEI prepared a Surface Monument Survey Data Review. Based on surface monitoring survey data collected from January 2006 through August 2009:

- Overall settlement of the reclaimed impoundment top surface continues to be very minor
- Settlement rates continue to slightly decrease

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in March 2010 were \$176. Total project to date committed cost is approximately \$1,476,000.

Supplemental Attachments

March 2010 site Inspection report

March 2010 cost report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecia Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date:	3-/3	- 10		
Inspector:	<u> I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I</u>	runni		
Cover System Component	Pr	otential Problem	Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion or	r Fencing Issues	NA	NA
	Subsidence		Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes No _
•			Significant: see Table 2	Yes _ · No _
	Embankment Slope Stability		excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes _ * No _
		on top	depth > 1"	Vac + Na V
Cover System (outslopes, top,		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes _ * No _/
rock)	Gullying	w/in normał flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes _ No _
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes _ · No _
		In diversion channel at any other location	· NA	NA
	Erosion Protection Stability		rock subsiding or missing	Yes No
	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystallization)	Yes No
	Diversion Channel		rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes V No
Runoff Control System	Diversion Swales		rock in place, no sliting in or head cutting	Yes No_
	Excessive silt build up at fence lines in diversion channel		allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes _ * No _

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

		Inspection Da	ate:3	1/8/10		_		•
		Inspec	tor:	· /Ran				
			Visib	le Outlying Are	as		Territoria	
Observed Condition:	Not	May w	w to	Report +	This	mark		
Observed Damage:	N ON							
						May require repai	r: Yes	* No 🗹
		Prope	rty Boundary	Fence and Gat	e (walk fence	e line)		
Observed Condition:	Ferre	(/ /	2	in Gw	I Ref	omen.	•	
Observed Damage:	WW.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Potential Corrective Actions:	Wor							
						May require repai	r: Yes _	* No _
		All Up	gradient Area	s (areas that dr	ain onto pro	perty)		
Observed Condition:	AN	upgradie	it Area	n Lole	fin.			
Observed Damage:	WW				····	·	~	
		,				May require repair	; Yes	· No 📈

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pong 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

	Inspection Date:	3-13-10	
		Outslopes	
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Slope Movemen	nt (fallure): Yes No	May require repair: Yes No/
	Gully Development:	Yes _ No ✓	May require repair: Yes* No/
	Observable Leachate (cold	ored): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Siltation (at slo	pe toe): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No 🎣
Observed Damage:	15W2		
Potential Corrective Actions:	V 010-		
		Top (top surface solls)	
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width):	Yes _ No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Settlement / Evidence of F	Ponding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Erosion / Gullying:	Yes _ No _	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	vn-	,	
Potential Corrective Actions:	Non		
		Erosion Protection Layer (r	ock)
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No/
	Rock Subsiding:	res _ No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Missing Rock:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	Noar		
Potential Corrective Actions:	V2000	f	

mark all areas or concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date: Inspector:	3-13-10 Dina		
		Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	Yes No _	May require repair: Yes* No
<u> </u>	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage: Ø	UJU-		
Potential Corrective Actions:	Nove		
		Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes^ No
	Head Cutting:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	More		
Potential Corrective Actions:	2000		
l ·			

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Curnulative Committed Cost To Date 3-31-10	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2008)							
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Hecla Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	Q	33,324	*
		*					
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
Long Term Monitoring (through FY 2010)			·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Site Inspections			176	190,695	4,018	194,713	
Settlement Monitoring				8,775	1,650	10,425	
Consultant Support:							
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections				2,495	18,100	20,595	includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring			0	0	20,000		Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - MEI			0	7,669	2,132	9,801	
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical			0	2,079		2,079	
Erosion Repair Review - MEI				2,927	573	3,500	
Revegetation Review - Barnberg					3,500	3,500	
Maintenance:							
Erosion Repair Allowance				21,941	7,500		Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowance				9,912	10,000	19,912	Revegetation conducted April 2008
Hecla Project Management Costs:							
Labor			0	2,266		10,175	
Travel expenses			0	0	1,312	1,312	
				- ****			
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	176	248,759	76,694	325,453	
			•				
		L					
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	176	1,476,152	76,694	1,552,846	

A second second of the second

والمراجع المعاملة المنافعة المعاملة المنافعة الم